

#### FDA Regulation of Printing Inks for Manufacturers and Suppliers

Radtech FDA Presentation Chicago, Illinois May, 17, 2016

George G. Misko, Partner

Keller and Heckman LLP Washington, DC Office 202.434.4170 misko@khlaw.com



# **FDA Regulation: General Principles**



- Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetics Act, inter alia, prohibits the adulteration of food
- Food packaging may adulterate food if--
  - The packaging makes the food unsafe
  - The packaging makes the food unfit for consumption (e.g., unacceptable taste/odor)
  - The packaging materials qualify as food additives, but do not have premarket clearance by FDA



- A substance which, when used as intended, is reasonably expected to become a component of food, except GRAS and prior sanctioned substances, among others
- Food additives must be the subject of a regulation or Food Contact Notification (FCN)

# **FDA Regulation: General Principles**



- FDA has authority to require premarket clearance for printing ink components that are "food additives"
  - Ink components treated like any other packaging component
  - HOWEVER, no single FDA regulation clears printing inks
  - May be used based on available exemptions (*e.g.*, "no migration," General Recognition of Safety)
- Must be suitably pure for its use (21 CFR §174.5)

# **FDA Food Additive Regulations**

- Certain components of inks might be found in FDA's food additive regulations:
  - Stand-alone polymer regulations, *e.g.*, 21 CFR §177.1520 ("Olefin polymers")
  - Stand-alone additive regulations, *e.g.*, § 178.3620 ("Mineral oil")
- Need to consider limits on clearances
  - Nature of use, food types, temperatures, and use levels

21 Parts 500 to TH Restored as of April 1

ined and Dear

Code of Federal Re

#### **Other Routes for Establishing FDA Status**

- Prior sanction
- ToR exemption Letter
- Applicable FCN
- No migration/functional barrier
- GRAS position (based on toxicity data, or low dietary exposure)





# **Functional Barriers**



- Inks may be marketed based on existence of a functional barrier preventing migration of ink components to food
  - FDA considers the following to be functional barriers for all possible migrants:
    - Aluminum foil
    - PET 1 mil (25 µm) thick for room temperature applications
  - Polyolefins are <u>not</u> considered allpurpose functional barriers





The existence of a functional barrier depends upon

- The composition and thickness of the barrier material
- The physical properties of the substance the barrier is intended block (*e.g.*, molecular weight, volitility, polarity)
- Temperature and duration of exposure
- Type of food (fatty, aqueous, acidic)



**Offset Issues** 



- Occurs when rolled or stacked packaging material results in transfer of ink from printed exterior of package to the food contact side during storage and handling of packaging material
- GMP issue



- Monitoring and assessment needed to avoid contamination as technically and economically feasible
- Must not present an unreasonable risk of harm

# **FDA Regulation: Questions**



- What impact does the packaging structure have on FDA compliance of inks (*e.g.*, direct printing on single or multi-layer, printing on labels, printing on paper where liner is present)
- Does packaging structure ever achieve a point where ANY ink would be compliant? If not, what baseline evaluation would be needed?

### Food Safety Modernization Act (FSMA)



- Signed into law in January, 2011
  - Peanut Corporation of America deadly salmonella outbreak in 2008 (9 deaths; 700+ illnesses)
  - Other high profile food recalls
- FSMA gives FDA greater authority to:
  - <u>Prevent</u> food safety problems (Title I)
  - <u>Detect and respond</u> to food safety problems (Title II)
  - Improve safety of imported food (Title III)

# **Title III: Safety of Imported Food**



- Foreign supplier verification program (FSVP) Rulemaking
  - Final rule; 80 Fed. Reg. 74226 (Nov. 27, 2015)
  - Applies to food as defined in 201(f) FFDCA
  - Food *includes* "food additives" which *includes* food contact materials!!!
  - Effective January 26, 2016, but 18 months to comply (May 29, 2017)
- Voluntary qualified importer program (VQIP)
- Import certification
- Accreditation of third-party auditors



- Impacts importers of food packaging materials and other FCSs that are food additives
  - Finished food contact articles (bottles, closures, etc.)
  - Preforms, resins, additives, stabilizers, etc.
- And, therefore, may include some printing inks or ink components



- Importers must implement FSVP to assure their foreign suppliers produce 'food' in compliance with:
  - Risk based preventive controls (HARPC) under Section 418
  - Produce safety provisions of Section 419
  - Adulteration provisions of Section 402
  - Misbranding provisions of Section 403(w) (allergen labeling)

# FSVP – Five Requirements of Importers KH

(1) Hazard identification and analysis

- (2) Foreign supplier approval based on that hazard analysis
- (3) Foreign supplier verification that identified hazards are appropriately controlled by the supplier
- (4) Corrective actions, as appropriate, to control hazards
- (5) Recordkeeping of FSVP activities
  - \*\* Must by conducted by a "Qualified Individual" \*\*

# **FSVP – Partial Exemption**



- Foreign supplier approval and verification steps NOT required if hazard analysis determines that there are no hazards associated with the 'food' that require a control to ensure safety
  - Not a complete exemption from FSVP
  - Hazard analysis by QI still needed, and records of same

# **FSVP:** Questions



 Is it possible that all material suppliers to FDA compliant food packaging will have substantial new requirements under FSMA requiring us to register under FSVP?



# **THANK YOU!**

George G. Misko Keller and Heckman LLP Washington, DC Office +1 202.434.4170 misko@khlaw.com

Washington, DC • Brussels • San Francisco • Shanghai • Paris

Keller and Heckman LLP